

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,134	12/04/2001	Catherine M. Peyne	60937-123-US	3734
24341	7590 09/15/2004		EXAM	INER
•	LEWIS & BOCKIUS,	LLP.	MALDONAL	OO, JULIO J
2 PALO ALT 3000 EL CAN	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, CA 94306		2823	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ch.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/007,134	PEYNE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823	
cation appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
quired to a be either: (*	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CO void abandonment of this applicable and the same of	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
D FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
ate of this Adv expire later th	f the final rejection. risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection.	
eriod of extens the shortened	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
entered be		••	
quire furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below):	
see Note b	•	,,	,
pplication i	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
out cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
owina reiec	tion(s):		
	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
request for ecause:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the
sidered bed ction.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
	c(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo		and an
as follows:			
as ronows.			
 : a)□_ann	roved or b) disapproved by	the Evernines	

**Advisory Action** -- The MAILING DATE of this communic THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2004 FAIL Therefore, further action by the applicant is re final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only b condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing d event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the p 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension there 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be (a) they raise new issues that would re (b) they raise the issue of new matter ( (c) they are not deemed to place the a issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims with NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following the following states are supplied to the following states and the following states are supplied to the supplied to the following states are supplied to the following states are supplied to the following states are supplied to the su 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ application in condition for allowance b 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be cons raised by the Examiner in the final reject 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed a explanation of how the new or amended The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed: . . Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: 46-49. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: George Fourson **Primary Examiner** 

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/007,134

## Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed 09/03/2004 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amended independent claims now add the limitation, among other things, "... essentially... ethylene diamine, ethylene triamine, monoethanolamine, and diglycolamine..." in claims 46, 48 and 49 where there was no mention within the claims of this limitation. While this places the application in better condition for allowance, it raises new issues int the prosecution of the instant application and would thus provide grounds for a new search. Furthermore, the newly added claims 50-73 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..